



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/501622 2-9-00 Mills
Serial No.: (09 Series)

009,837 008,947 009,294
110,160 110,694 110,717
009,455 110,678 111,003
501,622 225,687 362,693

EXAMINER	
W.A. Langel	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1754 5

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

(Applicant's
representative)

J. Melcher R. Mills J.S. menauer
S. Brewer

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

- (1) W. Langel (examiner)
(2) S. Kalafut (examiner)
(3) V. Jagannathan (consultant)
(4) S. Griffin (SPE)

Date of Interview 2/21/01

D. Turner & S. Walsh (Observers)
H. Saustelle

Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Slideshow & an attachment

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant clarified his theoretical viewpoint. Slide show referred to experimental data. Examiners advised that evidence must be submitted with the amendment in response to the outstanding office action. Applicant asked that an attachment be included.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

ATTACHMENT TO
INTERVIEW SUMMARY

(1/3)

Counsel for applicant requested disclosure of the following information as being reasonably related to the prosecution of the pending patent applications:

- 1) Identification of all Examiners and/or other Patent Office personnel ~~who were~~ identified in the pending Office Actions, who were consulted, or otherwise provided input, in the formulation of the rejections of record;
- 2) Identification of all outside consultants and/or other technical personnel, including, but not limited to, those of NIST, who were consulted, or otherwise provided input, in the formulation of the rejections of record;

- 3) Identification of all Patent Office officials responsible for the withdrawal of Appn Ser. No. 009,294 from issuance, and duplication of the factual circumstances surrounding that withdrawal; and
- 4) Identification of any and all outside sources of information that may have precipitated, or otherwise contributed to, the Patent Office's withdrawal of Appn. Ser. No. 009,294 from issuance.

The Patent office disagrees with
Applicant's counsel that the above-identified
information requested at the interview is
germane to the issues raised in the
pending Office Actions. The Patent office,
refused to
therefore, ~~will not~~ respond to these lines of
inquiry during the interview.